

1 Grant L. Cartwright, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 030780)  
2 Andrew A. Harnisch, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 024957)  
3 **MAY, POTENZA, BARAN & GILLESPIE, P.C.**  
4 201 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200  
5 Phoenix, AZ 85004-0608  
6 Telephone: (602) 252-1900  
7 Facsimile: (602) 252-1114  
8 E-mail: gcartwright@maypotenza.com  
9 aharnisch@maypotenza.com  
10 *Counsel for Debtor*

11 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
12 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

13 In re:

14 ARCTIC CATERING, INC.

15 Debtor.

Chapter 11 Proceeding

Case No. 2:18-bk-13118-EPB

**MOTION TO APPROVE  
SETTLEMENT BETWEEN DEBTOR  
AND PJK FOOD SERVICE, LLC  
D/B/A KEANY PRODUCE &  
GOURMET**

18 Arctic Catering, Inc. (the “**Debtor**”) moves this Court for an order under  
19 Bankruptcy Rule<sup>1</sup> 9019 approving a settlement with PJK Food Service, LLC d/b/a Keany  
20 Produce & Gourmet (“**Keany Produce**,” and collectively with the Debtor, the “**Parties**”)  
21 relating to the *PACA Claim of PJK Food Service, LLC d/b/a Keany Produce & Gourmet*  
22 (the “**PACA Claim**”) [DE 105]. The Debtor is contemporaneously filing a request for  
23 expedited consideration of this Motion.

24 In support of this Motion, the Debtor submits the following Memorandum of Points  
25 and Authorities, the documents referenced herein, and the entire record before the Court in  
26 this case.

27 *[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]*  
28

## 1

## 2

3

7

9

## 10

## 11

12

13

18

21

## 24

20

1           8.     On October 25, 2018 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtor voluntarily  
2 petitioned the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona for bankruptcy  
3 relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, commencing case 2:18-bk-18-13118.

4           9.     The Debtor has continued in possession of its property and is operating and  
5 managing its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of  
6 the Bankruptcy Code.

7           10.    On October 28, 2018, the Debtor filed its *Emergency Motion for Interim and*  
8 *Final Orders Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral, Granting Replacement Liens, and*  
9 *Setting Further Hearings on Use of Cash Collateral* (the “**Cash Collateral Motion**”) [DE  
10 10] requesting the interim use of cash collateral during this case, in exchange for, among  
11 other things, granting Liquid Capital Exchange, LLC (“**LCX**”) a replacement lien on post-  
12 petition accounts receivable.

13           11.    After the Debtor and LCX reached an interim agreement on cash collateral at  
14 the initial hearing on the Cash Collateral Motion on October 29, 2018, the Court entered  
15 the *Interim Order Granting Emergency Use of Cash Collateral, Granting Replacement*  
16 *Liens, and Setting Further Hearing on Use of Cash Collateral* [DE 27] through November  
17 14, 2018.

18           12.    A couple weeks later and after another cash collateral hearing, with an  
19 agreement still in place between the Debtor and LCX, on November 15, 2018, the Court  
20 entered its *Second Interim Order Granting Emergency Use of Cash Collateral, Granting*  
21 *Replacement Liens, and Setting Further Hearing on Use of Cash Collateral* (the “**Second**  
22 **Cash Collateral Motion**”) [DE 49] through November 28, 2018.

23           13.    On November 28, 2018, the Debtor and LCX stipulated to a *Third Interim*  
24 *Order Granting Emergency Use of Cash Collateral, Granting Replacement Liens, and*  
25 *Setting Further Hearing on Use of Cash Collateral* (the “**Third Cash Collateral**  
26 **Motion**”) [DE 65], and the Court held a third hearing on cash collateral.

27           14.    As of the date of this Motion, the Court has not granted the Third Cash  
28 Collateral Motion.

1           15.     On November 30, 2018, the Debtor filed its *Emergency Motion to Approve*  
2 *Post-Petition Factoring Agreement Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363 and 11 U.S.C. § 364* (the  
3 **“DIP Motion”**) [DE 72].

4           16.     The Court held a hearing on the DIP Motion on December 11, 2018.

5           17.     The Court granted the DIP Motion, in part and with modifications, with the  
6 requirement that the Debtor set aside a reserve of funds of \$160,000 to protect PACA  
7 claimants (the **“PACA Reserve”**) and a reservation of rights for PACA claimants, and  
8 entered the *Interim Order Granting Emergency Motion for Order Approving Post-Petition*  
9 *Factoring Agreement Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363 and 11 U.S.C. § 364* [DE 99] on  
10 December 20, 2018.

11           18.     The Court also entered the *Order Setting Notice of: Bar Date for Filing*  
12 *Trust Claims Under the Perishable Agricultural and Commodities Act and the Packers*  
13 *and Stockyards Act* [DE 95], which order set January 4, 2019, as the last day for parties to  
14 file PACA trust claims (the **“PACA Bar Date”**).

15           19.     Keany Produce timely filed its PACA Claim on January 3, 2019 [DE 105].

16           20.     The Court held a final hearing on the DIP Motion on January 10, 2019 [DE  
17 108]. At the hearing, the Court reduced the PACA Reserve to \$125,000 because only two  
18 parties filed PACA trust claims before the PACA Bar Date (including Keany Produce),  
19 and approved the DIP Motion on a final basis. The Court also set the deadline for the  
20 Debtor to object to PACA trust claims for January 18, 2019 (the **“PACA Objection**  
21 **Deadline”**).

22 **III.   Agreement Between the Parties**

23           21.     Effective upon entry of an order approving this Motion, the Parties stipulate  
24 and agree (the **“Agreement”**) to resolve the PACA Claim and the Debtor’s asserted  
25 defenses thereto pursuant to the following terms:

- 26                   i.     Keany Produce shall be allowed its PACA Claim in the amount of  
27                               \$10,626.72 (the **“Allowed PACA Claim”**). The PACA Claim is  
28                               undisputed, non-contingent, and liquidated.

- 1           ii.     The Debtor shall make the first settlement payment on account of the  
2                 Allowed PACA Claim in the amount of \$3,542.24 to Keany Produce  
3                 (the “**First Settlement Payment**”) by wire pursuant to the wire  
4                 transfer instructions contemporaneously provided by Keany Produce  
5                 to the Debtor. The First Settlement Payment shall be made by or  
6                 before ten days after the Bankruptcy Court enters an order granting  
7                 this Motion.
- 8           iii.    Beginning 30 days after the date the Debtor makes the First  
9                 Settlement Payment, the Debtor shall make payments in the amount  
10                of \$3,542.24 every 30 days thereafter for a total of two payments to  
11                Keany Produce using the same payment method used to make the  
12                First Settlement Payment (the “**Two Settlement Payments**”). After  
13                Keany Produce receives the First Settlement Payment and the  
14                subsequent Two Settlement Payments, the Debtor will have paid the  
15                down the entire Allowed PACA Claim in full satisfaction of Keany  
16                Produce’s Allowed PACA Claim.
- 17          iv.    Keany Produce agrees that any remaining PACA Reserve at the time  
18                the Court enters an order granting this Motion can be released to the  
19                Debtor following receipt of the First Settlement Payment.
- 20          v.     Nothing herein, including the installment nature of the payments  
21                agreed to herein, shall be deemed, interpreted or otherwise construed  
22                as an extension of credit by the Keany Produce to Debtor, or as a  
23                waiver of Keany Produce’s rights under the statutory trust provision  
24                of the PACA. Keany Produce’s rights hereunder are in addition to its  
25                rights under the PACA trust provision and Keany Produce reserves all  
26                rights arising under the PACA trust.
- 27          vi.    This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding between the  
28                Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all

1 negotiations, prior discussions, and prior agreements and  
2 understandings relating to such subject matter.

3 vii. This Agreement is entered into between the Parties as a compromise  
4 of claims disputed and is executed solely for the purpose of avoiding  
5 the cost, burden, and uncertainty of litigation. None of the Parties, by  
6 entering into this Agreement, admits lack of merit to their respective  
7 positions or merit of opposing positions.

8 viii. The Parties acknowledge that they have entered into this Agreement  
9 in reliance on their own independent investigations and analysis of  
10 the facts and law governing the PACA Claim, and that no  
11 representations, warranties, or promises of any kind have been made,  
12 directly or indirectly, to induce any of them to enter into this  
13 Agreement, other than those expressly stated herein.

14 ix. The Parties acknowledge that each has had the opportunity to review  
15 the Agreement and confer with counsel, and fully understand and  
16 voluntarily accept the terms of the Agreement.

17 x. If any term, covenant, condition, or provision of the Agreement is  
18 illegal or invalid or unenforceable for any reason whatsoever, such  
19 illegality or invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect the legality,  
20 validity, or enforceability of the remaining parts of the Agreement.

21 xi. The signatories hereto represent and warrant that they have the  
22 authority to execute this Agreement for and on behalf of the Parties,  
23 that they have not assigned, conveyed, or otherwise transferred those  
24 claims or causes of action released herein, and that the Parties own all  
25 claims and defenses they purport to release herein.

26 xii. This Agreement does not modify, invalidate, or supersede the existing  
27 contract between the Parties, unless otherwise stated herein.  
28

xiii. This Court shall retain jurisdiction in the event of dispute concerning the Agreement.

xiv. This Agreement is expressly contingent upon entry of a Court order approving the terms set forth herein.

#### **IV. Relief Requested**

By this Motion, the Parties request that the Court enter an order under Bankruptcy Rule 9019 approving the settlement reached herein resolving the PACA Claim.

#### **V. Legal Basis for Relief Requested**

“On motion by the [debtor] and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.” FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a). “The bankruptcy court has great latitude in approving compromise agreements” that are fair and equitable. *In re Woodson*, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988). “The law favors compromise and not litigation for its own sake[.]” *In re A & C Props.*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986).

The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has identified the following factors for consideration in determining whether a proposed settlement is fair and equitable: “(a) the probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience, and delay necessarily attending it; and (d) the paramount interests of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises.” *In re Woodson*, 839 F.2d at 620 (citations omitted).

A settlement need not serve, or even touch upon, each of the aforementioned factors in order to be approved, “provided that the factors as a whole favor approving the settlement.” *In re Pacific Gas & Electric Co.*, 304 B.R. 395, 417 (N.D. Cal. 2004). The responsibility of the bankruptcy judge is to canvass the issues to determine whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness, not to decide the numerous questions of law and fact that may be raised regarding the settlement. *Id.* (quoting *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Grp., Inc.*, 134 B.R. 493, 496-97 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 1991)). While the Court should consider “the reasonable views of creditors,

1 objections do not rule. It is well established that compromises are favored in bankruptcy.”  
2 *In re Lee Way Holding, Co.*, 120 B.R. 881, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1990).

3 The Agreement is fair, equitable, and beneficial to the Debtor’s bankruptcy estate  
4 and its creditors and should be approved by the Court. The Agreement has been reached  
5 by mutual consent of the Debtor and Keany Produce, and will resolve the PACA Claim,  
6 thereby avoiding the need for costly, burdensome, and unpredictable claims litigation. The  
7 settlement also will not have any significant adverse impact on the Debtor’s bankruptcy  
8 estate or its other creditors. If anything, the Agreement immediately benefits the estate  
9 because it will allow the Debtor to put to use the remaining funds in the PACA Reserve  
10 after Keany Produce receives its initial \$3,000.00 First Settlement Payment. The Debtor  
11 believes the Agreement is in the best interests of the estate.

12 WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that this Court, on an expedited  
13 basis: (1) enter an order approving the Motion, and (2) grant the Debtor such other and  
14 further relief as is just and proper.

15 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED February 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019.

16 **MAY, POTENZA, BARAN & GILLESPIE,**  
17 **P.C.**

18 By s/ Grant L. Cartwright

19 Grant L. Cartwright  
20 Andrew A. Harnisch  
21 *Counsel for Debtor*

22 **Approved as to Form and Content:**

23 By: /s/Jody A. Corrales

24 Jody A. Corrales

25 **DECONCINI MCDONALD YETWIN &**  
26 **LACY, P.C.**

27 *Counsel for PJK Food Service, LLC d/b/a Keany*  
28 *Produce & Gourmet*



1 COPY of the foregoing mailed or emailed\*  
2 on February 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019, to:

3 Carolyn J. Johnsen\*  
4 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC  
5 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400  
6 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
7 cjohnsen@dickinsonwright.com  
8 *Attorneys for Liquid Capital Exchange, Inc.*

9 Michael R. King\*  
10 Kevin J. Blakley\*  
11 Gammage & Burnham P.L.C.  
12 Two North Central Avenue, 15th Floor  
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
14 mking@gblaw.com  
15 kblakley@gblaw.com  
16 *Attorneys for Food Services of America, Inc.*

17 Larry Watson\*  
18 Office of the U.S. Trustee  
19 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204  
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
21 Larry.Watson@usdoj.gov

22 Steven N. Berger\*  
23 ENGELMAN BERGER, P.C.  
24 3636 North Central Avenue, Suite 700  
25 Phoenix, Arizona 85012  
26 snb@eblawyers.com  
27 *Attorneys for Equity Holders*  
28 Scott P. Vaughn\*  
McGuire Woods LLP  
201 N. Tryon Street, Suite 3000  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
svaughn@mcguirewoods.com

Carl Doré, Jr.\*  
Doré Law Group, P.C.  
17171 Park Row, Suite 160  
Houston, Texas 77084  
carl@dorelawgroup.net  
*Attorneys for Stallion Rockies, Ltd.*

1 Jody Corrales\*  
2 DeConcini McDonald Yetwin & Lacy, P.C.  
3 2525 E. Broadway Blvd., Ste. 200  
4 Tucson, AZ 85716  
5 jcorrales@dmyl.com  
6 Attorneys for PJK Food Service LLC  
7 d/b/a Keany Produce & Gourment

8 Steven D. Jerome\*  
9 Emily Gildar Wagner\*  
10 Snell & Wilmer, LLP  
11 One Arizona Center  
12 400 E. Van Buren St., Ste. 1900  
13 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202  
14 sjerome@swlaw.com  
15 ewagner@swlaw.com  
16 Attorneys for Triple B Corporation  
17 d/b/a Charlie's Produce

18 Master Mailing Matrix

19 By: /s/ Elizabeth Luna